

-1-

SUBLINGUAL ADMINISTRATION OF NON-STEROIDAL  
ANTI-INFLAMMATORY PHARMACOLOGICAL SUBSTANCES

\* \* \* \* \*

DESCRIPTION

5 The present invention relates to a sublingual administration method of non-steroidal anti-inflammatories substances, referred as FANS hereinafter, which allows to considerably reduce its therapeutic dose, with the additional advantage of  
10 increasing the quickness of the effects and improving the tolerability.

FANS are drugs diffusely used for the control of inflammatory symptoms of different type, generally associated with pain and fever.

15 The oral administration, in the form of preparations to swallow, is the more common. It presents, however, some drawbacks which concern, in a more or less evident way, all this class of drugs.

Firstly, it is known that FANS may produce injury to  
20 the gastrointestinal system, consisting in ulcers, erosions and haemorrhages (Gabriel et al., 1991). This phenomenon is partly due to the central action mechanism of FANS, the same which also explains the anti-inflammatory properties thereof (Roberts and  
25 Morrow, 2001), partly to a contact action, which locally occurs on the gastrointestinal wall with which these drugs contact after being swallowed.

The damages of the first type declared themselves after the systemic absorption and are independent on  
30 the route of administration, while those of the second type precede the absorption and are bound to the oral administration.

Studies on animals and humans shown that the oral administration significantly contributes to the onset  
35 of these side effects. In rats, some FANS cause

gastrointestinal lesions significantly more serious by oral way than after parenteral administration (Pfeiffer and Lewandowski, 1971; Cioli et al., 1979). The same phenomenon has been observed in humans and is 5 explained in that, after oral administration, the FANS directly contact the gastrointestinal mucosa: in this way, their toxic local effects are added to those performed after the systemic absorption (Bjarnson and Thjodleifsson, 1999; Roberts and Morrow, 2001).

10 Another unfavourable aspect of the oral administration is to involve a first passage through the liver; consequently, FANS reach high concentrations in this organ, with a formation of reactive metabolites which can produce an oxidative stress and cause 15 mitochondrial damages. In sensitive persons (metabolic idiosyncrasy), hepatotoxic reactions, serious as well, may result (Boesterli, 2002).

In order to reduce the drawbacks connected to the oral administration, FANS may be administered in gastro-resistant formulations, which do not deliver the 20 active substance in the stomach. In this way, the gastric tolerability is improved but the erosions due to the direct contact of the active substance with the intestinal mucosa are not avoided, which can be 25 equally dangerous (Davies, 1999). Further, FANS may be administered by injection and transdermically. In this way, the contact effect at the gastric and intestinal level may be avoided and the first passage through the liver is eliminated, thus being able to mitigate the 30 hepatotoxic effects. Both these routes of administration, however, present drawbacks, which must be kept in mind (Wilkinson, 2001).

The injective route obliges to maintain the asepsis, may cause pain and makes the self-medication 35 difficult.

-3-

The transdermic route is not always usable because of dosage problems and involves a slow absorption, hardly compatible with the treatment of acute inflammatory conditions, which need treatments promptly effective; 5 few drugs, moreover, easily enter through the intact skin.

Finally, another possibility is offered by the rectal route, which however involves a rather irregular absorption and may irritate the mucosa of the last 10 tract of the intestine; further, it reduces but it does not eliminate the effect of the first passage in the liver (Wilkinson, 2001).

Therefore, so far the sublingual administration of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory substances has never 15 been subject of study or investigation.

Surprisingly, the above mentioned drawbacks are overcome through the sublingual administration of FANS, since from the oral cavity the drugs directly reach the superior vena cava, in this way the local 20 component of the gastrointestinal damaging action is eliminated and the first massive passage through the liver is avoided.

The sublingual administration allows to considerably reduce the therapeutic dose, with respect to an oral 25 formulation containing the same anti-inflammatory agent, with the advantage of increasing the quickness of the effects and ameliorating the tolerability. Further, the sublingual administration is easy to carry out.

30 The above mentioned advantages appeared by using, through sublingual administration, various active substances representative of the whole class of FANS, such as, for instance, nimesulide.

Nimesulide, as it is known, is particularly effective 35 in the acute forms associated with pain. Its use,

however, may cause adverse reactions to the gastrointestinal tract and, most of all, to the liver (REFI 2000).

5 In the performed experimentation, the used sublingual preparations consisted of tablets which can be separated in two parts.

During this experimentation, it unexpectedly emerged that the sublingual administration of FANS allows to remarkably reduce the therapeutic dose necessary for 10 obtaining the desired anti-inflammatory effect.

The experimentation has further been closely examined, both by treating in the following period the same patients with the traditional oral preparation and with the sublingual one, and by 15 comparing groups of patients treated with the two methods. Besides allowing to considerably reduce the therapeutic dose, the sublingual administration presents the additional advantage of improving the quickness of the effects, which in the acute 20 inflammatory conditions is of great importance, and the tolerability of FANS. The relative ascertainment to the dosage reduction required for obtaining a complete therapeutic effect was never been pointed out in the prior art.

25 Advantageously, the excipients used for the sublingual preparations of the tested FANS have been carefully selected among the available excipients in the pharmaceutical art.

The best excipients have proved to be those promoting 30 the delivery of the active substance, by reducing the possible risk of local lesions for the oral mucosa which is exposed to the direct contact with the FANS.

By using such types of excipients, during the experimentation carried out on patients, injuries of 35 the type above mentioned have never been pointed out.

-5-

By way of example only, (Example 1), a tested preparation showed the following excipients composition:

	Nimesulide	mg 100.0
5	Mannitol	mg 200.0
	Sodium saccharate	mg 30.0
	Microcrystalline cellulose	mg 100.0
	PEG 6000 powder	mg 5.0
	Citric acid	mg 30.0
10	Magnesium stearate	mg 20.0
	Mint flavouring	mg 20.0

By way of example only, (Example 2), another tested preparation showed the following excipients composition:

15	Nimesulide	mg 50.0
	Mannitol	mg 100.0
	Sodium saccharate	mg 5.0
	Microcrystalline cellulose	mg 50.0
	PEG 6000 powder	mg 2.5
20	Citric acid	mg 25.0
	Magnesium stearate	mg 5.0
	Mint flavouring	mg 10.0

The patients seem to prefer the tablets of smaller sizes, but the reasons seem to be psychological only, 25 as from the point of view of the therapeutic dosage reduction, the quickness of the effects and the tolerability, the size of the tablets and their formulations has not been influential. The reduction of the therapeutic dosage seems to depend, therefore, 30 more from the route of administration than the formulation of the preparation, even if, of course, an influence of this latter cannot be excluded.

Greater advantages can be obtained by using tablets capable of quickly disintegrating, as the absorption 35 of FANS is facilitated and the risk of local lesions

is reduced.

All the sublingual preparations used in the experimentation are characterized by a prompt disintegration.

5 The experimentation has involved several FANS, such as, for example ketoprofen, nimesulide, naproxen and ibuprofen.

Moreover, other FANS provided with unusual physical-chemical features have been taken into consideration,

10 such as, for instance, paracetamol, ketorolac, tenoxicam and diclofenac.

15 The present invention also applies to the 2-cyclooxygenase inhibitors, such as celecoxib and rofecoxib, with the advantage of a higher quickness of the therapeutic effects.

20 The experimentation carried out with the ketoprofen, the nimesulid and the ibuprofen on one hand, and with the paracetamol and diclofenac on the other hand, leads to consider that the observed reduction of the therapeutic dosage depends on the sublingual administration itself rather than the specific features of each drug.

25 A first group of patients subjected to the experimentation showed a clinical anamnesis of peptic ulcer or, in a more general sense, intolerance to the oral preparations of FANS. Afterward, the experimentation has been extended also to patients which well tolerated the traditional oral administration, by pointing out that also in those 30 people the sublingual administration allows a drastic reduction of the therapeutic dose with respect to an oral formulation containing the same anti-inflammatory agent.

35 In order to more specifically show the invention, the following non limitative examples of galenical

-7-

formulations are reported:

By way of example only, (Example 3), another experimented preparation showed the following composition:

5	Ketoprofen	mg	25.0
	Mannitol	mg	50.0
	Sodium saccharate	mg	5.0
	Microcrystalline cellulose	mg	25.0
	PEG 6000 powder	mg	2.5
10	Citric acid	mg	12.5
	Magnesium stearate	mg	3.0
	Mint flavouring	mg	5.0

By way of example only, (Example 4), another experimented preparation showed the following composition:

15	Ibuprofen	mg	100.0
	Mannitol	mg	125.0
	Sodium saccharate	mg	5.0
	Microcrystalline cellulose	mg	.75.0
20	PEG 6000 powder	mg	2.5
	Citric acid	mg	25.0
	Magnesium stearate	mg	5.0
	Mint flavouring	mg	10.0

In general, the formulation according to the invention may be in a pharmaceutical form selected among: gel, granulate, powder, freeze-dried product, pressed capsule or pill.

Further, the pharmaceutical formulation according to the invention may include a water soluble excipient and/or a crystalline water insoluble excipient having a disintegrating function.

For instance, the water soluble excipient is the mannitol; the crystalline water insoluble excipient having a disintegrating function is the microcrystalline cellulose.

-8-

Moreover, the pharmaceutical formulation according to the invention may include: a lubricant, preferably said lubricant is the magnesium stearate and/or the PEG 6000 powder; a sweetener, preferably said 5 sweetener is the sodium saccharate.

Of course, the common co-formulations usually used in the pharmaceutical technology may be employed without any limitation.

Finally, the formulations according to the invention 10 are prepared according to the known teachings and the methods generally employed in the field.

Some mentioned references are reported hereinafter:

1. Bjarnason I., Thjodleifsson B.

Gastrointestinal toxicity of non-steroidal anti-15 inflammatory drugs: the effect of nimesulide compared with naproxen on the human gastrointestinal tract, Rheumatology 1999 May, 38 Suppl 1: 24-32.

2. Boelsterli U.A.

Mechanisms of NSAID-induced hepatotoxicity: focus on 20 nimesulide, Drug Saf, 2002, 25 (9): 633-648.

3. Cioli V., Putzolu S., Rossi V., Scorza Barcellona P., Corradino C.

The role of direct tissue contact in the production of 25 gastrointestinal ulcers by anti-inflammatory drugs in rats, Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1979 Sep 15; 50 (2): 283-289.

4. Gabriel S.E., Jaakkimainen L., Bombardier C.

Risk for serious gastrointestinal complications related to use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory 30 drugs. A meta-analysis, Ann Intern Med 1991 Nov 15, 115 (10): 787-796.

5. Davies N.M.

Sustained release and enteric coated NSAIDs: are they really GI safe?, Pharm Pharm Sci 1999 Jan-Apr; 2 (1): 35 5-14.

-9-

6. Roberts II L.J., Morrow J.D.  
Analgesic-antipyretic and antiinflammatory agents and  
drugs employed in the treatment of gout, in Goodman  
and Gilman's, The pharmacological basis of  
therapeutics, 10th edition, eds. Hardman J.G. and  
Limbird L.E., 2001, McGraw-Hill, pag. 687-731.
7. Pfeiffer C. J., Lewandowski L.G.  
Comparison of gastric toxicity of acetylsalicylic acid  
with route of administration in the rat, Arch. Int.  
Pharmacodyn Ther. 1971 Mar; 190 (1): 5-13.
- 8.REFI (Repertorio Farmaceutico Italiano), 2000.
9. Wilkinson G.R.  
The Dynamics of drug absorption, distribution, and  
elimination, in Goodman and Gilman's, The  
pharmacological basis of therapeutics, 10th edition,  
eds. Hardman J.G. and Limbird L.E., 2001, McGraw-Hill,  
pag. 3-29.